## UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: SNOWFLAKE, INC., DATA SECURITY BREACH LITIGATION

**MDL No. 3126** 

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

## CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -9)

On October 4, 2024, the Panel transferred 31 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the District of Montana for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. See \_F.Supp.3d\_ (J.P.M.L. 2024). Since that time, 28 additional action(s) have been transferred to the District of Montana. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Brian Morris.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the District of Montana and assigned to Judge Morris.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the <u>Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation</u>, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the District of Montana for the reasons stated in the order of October 4, 2024, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Brian Morris.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of Montana. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7—day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

	FOR THE PANEL:
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA DISTRICT OF MONTANA  3 56	James V. Dugold
I, Tyler P. Girnan, Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of Montana, hereby certify that the above and forgoing is a true copy of the original now on file in my office.  Dated this  12th day of	James V. Ingold Clerk of the Panel
February 20 25  TYLER P. GILMAN, Clerk  By M Skuart	MTES COULTE
Pariting),	

MONTANA

## IN RE: SNOWFLAKE, INC., DATA SECURITY BREACH LITIGATION

MDL No. 3126

## SCHEDULE CTO-9 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST</u>	DIV.	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	CASE CAPTION
TEXAS NO	RTHERN		
TXN	3	25-00272	Austin et al v. AT&T, Inc. et al